



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FW

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,884	02/04/2004	Alexander Lightman	ICH1P004C1	5364
22434	7590	02/24/2006	EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			ZEWARI, SAYED T	
P.O. BOX 70250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			2687	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/772,884	LIGHTMAN, ALEXANDER
	Examiner Sayed T. Zewari	Art Unit 2687

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 February 2004.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Raith.

With respect to claim 1, Raith discloses a method for displaying information on a wireless device having a display (See Raith figure 1(190), figure 3(350) and figure 4), said method comprising: detecting presence of another wireless device (See Raith abstract, col.3 lines 12-17, col.7 lines 37-45), receiving, from the another wireless device, a request to display identifiable information on the display of the wireless device after said detecting has detected the presence of the another wireless device (See Raith col.5 lines 50-64, see figure 4(410)), and displaying the identifiable information on the display of the wireless device in response to the request (See Raith col.5 lines 50-64, figure 4(410), col.10 claim no. 9 on lines 41-44, where Raith discloses that various types of information can be downloaded using menu).

With respect to claim 2, Raith discloses a method wherein the wireless device is a wearable computing device (See Raith figure 3(350), col.5 line 24, where the mobile station is a cellular phone which is wearable).

With respect to claim 3, Raith discloses a method wherein the another wireless device is a wearable computing device (See Raith col.6 lines 48-67, col.7 lines 1-13).

With respect to claim 4, Raith discloses a method wherein the wireless devices is a wearable badge (See Raith col.7, lines 31-56 where the wearable mobile station 350 is equipped with a proximity sensors).

With respect to claim 5, Raith discloses a method wherein the another wireless device is affixed to or embedded in an area or a setting (See Raith col.5 lines 13-22).

With respect to claim 6, Raith discloses a method wherein said method is performed at an event in which numerous participants wear wearable badges (See Raith figure 3(350), col.5 line 24, where the mobile station is a cellular phone which is wearable).

With respect to claim 7, Raith discloses a method wherein said method further comprises: accessing the identifiable information to be displayed from the wireless device (See Raith col.5 lines 50-56, figure 4(410), col.10 claim no. 9 on lines 41-44).

With respect to claim 8, Raith discloses a method wherein said displaying of the identifiable information on the display of the wireless device operates to display the information in a series of screens on the display (See Raith figure 4(410), where it is obvious to one skilled in the art that if there are more messages then they would have to be displayed in a series of screens on the display).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raith (US 6,493,550) in view of Thompson et al. (US 6,484,011).

With respect to claim 9, Raith discloses all the limitations of claim 9 in the above combinations. However, Raith does not specifically disclose a method wherein each of the screens of the series of screens is cycled after a predetermined time. But Thompson discloses a method wherein each of the screens of the series of screens is cycled after a predetermined time (See Thompson figure 5, col.6 lines 4-8, col.7 lines 58-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention disclosed by Raith and include the method of automatically cycling through displays at a set time, as disclosed by Thompson, thereby providing a means of displaying information after a predetermined timeout period, as disclosed by Thompson et al. (See Thompson abstract, col. 1 lines 14-35, claim 29).

Conclusion

The following prior arts made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Asaoka et al. Patent No. 6,349,203 discloses a moving body terminal device.

Stobbe et al. Patent No. 5,751,570 discloses a method of automatically identifying an unknown number of transponders with a reader and identification system.

Alley et al. Patent No. 5,845,282 discloses a method and apparatus for remotely accessing files from a desktop computer using a personal digital assistant.

De La Huerga Patent No. 5,960,085 discloses a security badge for automated access control and secure data gathering.

Kimoto et al. Patent No. 6,115,611 discloses a mobile communication system, and a mobile terminal, an information center and a storage medium used therein.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sayed T. Zewari whose telephone number is 571-272-6851. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester G. Kincaid can be reached on 571-272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sayed T. Zewari

February 8, 2006



LESTER G. KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER